

Prospects of the Higgs Sector at HL-LHC



Paolo Giacomelli (INFN Bologna)

What Next ?**, LTS1 workshop, La Biodola**

Thursday, May 22th, 2014

LHC Physics program priorities

The discovery of a SM-like scalar boson at m_H~125 GeV defines the physics priorities

- With LHC 13/14 TeV data until ~2022 (~300 fb^{-1})
  - Measure SM-like scalar boson properties
    - mass, J^{PC}
    - individual couplings with 5-15% precision
  - Search for new physics at a higher mass scale (new energy region)
    - SUSY
    - Exotics

Higgs Physics at HL-LHC

- What can we do at HL-LHC in the Higgs sector?

until ~2032 (~3000 fb^{-1})

  - Measure existing decay channels with the highest precision
  - Observe rare Higgs decays
    - $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$
    - $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$
    - $H \rightarrow cc$ (?)
  - Double Higgs production (Higgs self-coupling)
  - Vector boson scattering
  - Look for small deviations from SM predictions

From 2012 to HL-LHC

- From 30 to 3000 fb^{-1}: two orders of magnitude extrapolation in luminosity

To calculate physics projections at HL-LHC

Similar trigger and reconstruction performances as in 2012

Need upgraded detectors to offset the much harsher LHC conditions and radiation damage

ATLAS and CMS have launched a comprehensive upgrade program

Higgs boson projections after LS1

Approaches adopted for physics projections

- **ATLAS**: perform physics studies using fast simulation to mimic the beam effects on momentum and energy resolution, acceptance, identification and reconstruction efficiencies, fake rates, etc.
- **CMS**: assume that an upgraded detector will compensate the effects of the higher pile-up, using three different scenarios:
  - Scenario 1: all systematic uncertainties are kept unchanged with respect to those in current data analyses
  - Scenario 2: the theoretical uncertainties are scaled by a factor of 1/2, while other systematical uncertainties are scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$
  - Scenario 3: set theoretical uncertainties to zero, leave other syst. uncertainties the same as in 2012

Higgs signal strength with 300 fb^{-1}

- Extrapolation by two orders of magnitude to higher luminosity
  - is subject to large uncertainties
  - scenarios 1 and 2 provide likely upper and lower bounds
- Experience at LEP and Tevatron indicates that scaling with $1/\sqrt{L}$ is not unrealistic



300 fb^{-1}, 14TeV (Scenario 1)

300 fb^{-1}, 14TeV (Scenario 1)

$\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$

With 300 fb^{-1} the precision on the signal strength, $\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$, is expected to be 10-15% per channel

Higgs signal strength with 3000 fb^{-1}



$\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$

L (fb	H→γγ	H→WW	H→ZZ	H→bb	H→ττ	H→Zγ	H→μμ	H→inv.
300	[6,12]	[6,11]	[7,11]	[11,14]	[8,14]	[62,62]	[40,42]	[17,28]
3000	[4,8]	[4,7]	[4,7]	[5,7]	[5,8]	[20,24]	[20,24]	[6,17]

With 3000 fb^{-1} the precision on μ is expected to be 4-8% per channel

Higgs boson couplings @300 fb^{-1}

- Two scenarios:
  - Scenario 1: same systematics as in 2012
  - Scenario 2: theory systematics scaled by a factor ½, other systematics scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$



300 fb^{-1} 14 TeV, Scenario 1
300 fb^{-1} 14 TeV, Scenario 2

300 fb^{-1}, 14TeV (Scenario 1)
300 fb^{-1}, 14TeV (Scenario 1)

With 300 fb^{-1} the uncertainties on the Higgs couplings are expected in the range $\sigma(\kappa_V) \sim$ 4-7%
$\sigma(\kappa_f) \sim$ 6-15%

Higgs boson couplings @3000 fb^{-1}



L (fb	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	BR
300	[5,7]	[4,6]	[4,6]	[6,8]	[10,13]	[14,15]	[6,8]	[41,41]	[23,23]	[14,18]
3000	[2,5]	[2,5]	[2,4]	[3,5]	[4,7]	[7,10]	[2,5]	[10,12]	[8,8]	[7,11]

- With 3000 fb^{-1} the Higgs couplings can be determined with high precision (2-7%)

Higgs projections @3000 fb^{-1}





- Extrapolation by two orders of magnitude to higher luminosity
  - is subject to large uncertainties
- Results will become syst. limited due to theory uncertainties. We must encourage our theoretical friends to improve their calculations!

Higgs couplings @3000 fb^{-1}



- With 3000 fb^{-1} the couplings can be determined with high precision (up to a few %)

Higgs coupling ratios vs. mass

Mass-scaled coupling ratios vs. particle mass



H→μμ

G. Salam, A. Weiler

- By LHC14@300, we'll have probed all 3rd generation fermion couplings to O(10–20%)
- H → $\mu^+\mu^-$ gives us access to 2nd lepton generation, i.e. is the mass-generation mechanism same for all generations, for quarks and leptons?

mass ∝ coupling to Higgs ?

$$Br(H \to \mu^+\mu^-)_{\rm SM} = 2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$$



$H \to \mu\mu$









- The decay $H \to \mu\mu$ can be observed with a significance of 5 sigma
  - measurement of the $H\mu\mu$ coupling with a precision of ~10%



H→Zγ

- γZ like γγ and gg loop induced, but sensitive to effects invisible in γγ and gg (because of chiral couplings)

G. Salam, A. Weiler

- In composite Higgs: Not protected by Goldstone symmetry, large γZ while γγ and gg small

SM

New physics





$H \to Z\gamma$


ATLAS Simulation
Preliminary
Background
SM Signal × 20
√s = 14 TeV
∫Ldt = 3000 fb⁻¹
H→Zγ, Z→ee
Events / GeV
m_llγ [GeV]
ATLAS Simulation
Preliminary
Background
SM Signal × 20
√s = 14 TeV
∫Ldt = 3000 fb⁻¹
H→Zγ, Z→ee
Events / GeV
m_llγ-m_ll [GeV]
ATLAS Simulation
Preliminary
Background
SM Signal × 20
√s = 14 TeV
∫Ldt = 3000 fb⁻¹
H→Zγ, Z→μμ
Events / GeV
m_llγ [GeV]
ATLAS Simulation
Preliminary
Background
SM Signal × 20
√s = 14 TeV
∫Ldt = 3000 fb⁻¹
H→Zγ, Z→μμ
Events / GeV
m_llγ-m_ll [GeV]

H→cc

- Hcc coupling can still be 4-8 x SM $\mathcal{L} = c_c\, h \frac{m_c}{v} \bar{c}c + \ldots$
- In composite Higgs

$$c_c \simeq 1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v^2}{f^2}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\epsilon_c^2 \frac{g_\psi^2 v^2}{m_\psi^2}\right)$$

large for composite charm and light charm partners



Measuring it?

Like H→bb, but with charm tagging?

Or via H→ J/ψ γ ? 1306.5770

G. Salam, A. Weiler

Higgs boson pair-production

Destructive interference between the two diagrams



Taken from **"Higgs self-coupling measurements at the LHC"** by M. J.



Many channels to investigate
Most promising ones:

$b\bar{b}W^+W^-$ (large BR but large bkg.)

$b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ (clean but small BR)

$b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$
$b\bar{b}\mu^+\mu^-$ also being considered
$b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$
$b\bar{b}ZZ \rightarrow b\bar{b}2l2\nu$

NNLO cross-section at m_H=125 GeV:

σ = 40 ± 3 fb

G. de Florian, J. Mazzitelli, 1309.6594

di-Higgs production

At HL-LHC with L=3000 fb^{-1} we will produce ~120000 HH events

However we pay a big price in BR's …

$b\bar{b}W^+W^-$ ~14000 events

$b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ ~ 150 events

$b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ ~ 4300 events

$b\bar{b}2l2\nu$ ~ 730 events



di-Higgs production

HH→b$\bar{b}$γγ





Preliminary results with L=3000 fb^{-1}

di-Higgs production

$b\bar{b}W^+W^-$ is being studied. Looks very difficult

$b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$ seems more promising, studies just began

$b\bar{b}2l2\nu$ could be an interesting possibility, studies not yet started

Higgs boson pair-production is a flagship channel of HL-LHC.

There is ongoing work in both experiments in order to be able to assess the full potential at HL-LHC.

Personal opinion

There is good hope to reach a sensitivity of ~3σ per experiment with L=3000 fb^{-1}

Conclusions

- ATLAS and CMS have exceeded their design performances during the first LHC run, showing that precision physics can be made under these conditions.
- The experience gained and a sound program of upgrades gives us confidence that the experiments will meet the physics expected at HL-LHC with 3000 fb^{-1}, collected at $\sqrt{s}$=14 TeV and instantaneous luminosities of $5x10^{34}$ cm^{-2} s^{-1}.
- Precision Higgs boson physics at HL-LHC is an attractive future scenario deserving substantial studies and R&D
  - it is a challenging project involving major upgrades of full detectors
  - Higgs boson couplings can be measured with few percent precision
  - rare Higgs boson decays can be probed
  - Higgs self-coupling studies possible
  - VV scattering will be probed
- LHC has an exciting physics program for the next twenty years!

Backup

Integrated luminosity in 2012

Integrated luminosity recorded in 2012: **~22 fb^{-1}**

2011: L=~6 fb^{-1}





Total delivered luminosity: **~30 fb^{-1}**

Total recorded luminosity: **~27 fb^{-1}**

Excellent LHC peformance and very high data-taking efficiency of the two detectors

New boson with a mass of ~125 GeV

- We have discovered a SM-like scalar boson with a mass of ~125 GeV.
- J^{PC}, consistent with SM scalar boson, couplings will need more data.





$\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM} =$ 0.80±0.14



The new boson is consistent with being the SM Higgs boson

LHC and HL-LHC

LHC	Energy increase 8 TeV to 13/14 TeV	Injection upgrade	HL-LHC

$PU = \begin{cases} 100@25ns \\ 200@50ns \end{cases}$ →

$PU = \begin{cases} 50@25ns \\ 100@50ns \end{cases}$ →

$PU = \begin{cases} 25@25ns \\ 50@50ns \end{cases}$ →



Luminosity-leveled at $5x10^{34}$ $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ in HL-LHC

LS= Long Shutdown

Interaction region upgrade

	LHC		LHC		HL-LHC
$L_{instantaneous}$	$8x10^{33}$ Hz/cm^2	LS1	$2x10^{34}$ Hz/cm^2	LS3	$5x10^{34}$ Hz/cm^2
$L_{integrated}$	30 fb^{-1}		300 fb^{-1}		3000 fb^{-1}
Pile Up	PU ~40		PU ~50		PU ~140

ATLAS, CMS Upgrade plan

Phase 1 Upgrade

Phase 2 Upgrade

Detector and trigger challenges

- Need detectors and trigger with high performances from low to high energy scales
  - 125 GeV SM-like boson measurements
  - Multi-TeV new physics searches
- Phase 1 Upgrade: twice LHC design luminosity
  - Event pileup reaches ~50 collisions per beam crossing (@ 25 ns)
  - Factor 5 increase in trigger rates relative to 2012 run
- Phase 2 Upgrade: 5x LHC design luminosity
  - Event pileup reaches ~140 collisions per beam crossing (@ 25 ns)
  - Need solutions to cope with very high rates (10-15 x 2012), radiation and pileup

ATLAS and CMS were designed to cope with L= 1-2 $\times 10^{34}$ $cm^{-2}s^{-1}$

Pileup in 2012



Peak: 37 pileup events

Design value
25 pileup events
(L=10^{34}, BX=25 ns)




Basically, life will not be easy…
Pileup at 25 ns and $L = 2x10^{34}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$

Upgrade challenges and recipe

Maintain low trigger thresholds, efficient particle and physics object reconstruction at high rate and pile-up



Need new technology R&Ds to:

– Increase granularity
– Increase data bandwidth
– Increase processing power
– Improve radiation hardness
– Minimize material in tracking devices



CMS upgrade program

LS1 Projects

- Complete Muon coverage (ME,RE4)
- Improve muon operation, DT electronics
- Replace HCAL photo-detectors in Forward (new PMTs) and Outer (HPD→SiPMs)
- DAQ1→DAQ2



LS1 (now) — LS2 (2018) — LS3 (~2023)

Phase 1 Upgrades

- New Pixel detector, HCAL electronics and L1-Trigger upgrade
- GEMs for forward muon det. under review
- Preparatory work during LS1
  - New beam pipe for pixel upgrade
  - Install test slices of pixel, HCAL, L1-trigger
  - Install ECAL optical splitters for L1-trigger

Phase 2: being defined now

- Tracker replacement, L1 Track-Trigger
- Forward: calorimetry, muons and tracking
- High precision timing for PU mitigation
- Further Trigger upgrade
- Further DAQ upgrade

Expected Phase 1 improvements





Significant gain in signal reconstruction efficiency:

H→ 4μ	+41%
H→ 2μ2e	+48%
H→ 4e	+51%



Total efficiency improvement: factor of 2.5 (4.5% → 11%)

Improved jet and MET → 25% improvement in $m_{\tau\tau}$ resolution

CMS Phase II Muon detector

Increase det. acceptance up to |η|=4.0







>40% more H→4μ events

ATLAS detector



ATLAS upgrade program

2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	...	2030
Prepare for:		Phase 0,I		LS1			Phase I,II		LS2		Phase II		LS3			

"Phase-0" upgrade: consolidation
$\sqrt{s}$ = 13~14 TeV, 25ns bunch spacing
$L_{inst} \simeq 1 \times 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ ($\mu \simeq 27.5$)
$\int L_{inst} \simeq 50\ fb^{-1}$

"Phase-I" upgrades:
ultimate luminosity
$L_{inst} \simeq 2\text{-}3 \times 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ ($\mu \simeq 55\text{-}81$)
$\int L_{inst} \gtrsim 350\ fb^{-1}$

"Phase-II" upgrades:
$L_{inst} \simeq 5 \times 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ ($\mu \simeq 140$) w. leveling
$\simeq 6\text{-}7 \times 10^{34}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ ($\mu \simeq 192$) no level.
$\int L_{inst} \simeq 3000\ fb^{-1}$

ATLAS has devised a 3 stage upgrade program

- New insertable pixel b-layer (IBL)
- New Al beam pipe
- New pixel services
- Complete installation of EE muon chambers
- New evaporative cooling plant
- Consolidation of detector services
- Specific neutron shielding
- Upgrade magnet cryogenics

- New Small Wheel (nSW) for the forward muon Spectrometer
- High Precision Calorimeter L1-Trigger
- Fast TracKing (FTK) for L2-trigger
- Topological L1-trigger processors
- New forward diffractive physics detectors (AFP)

- Completely new tracking detector
- Calorimeter electronics upgrades
- Upgrade part of the muon system
- Possible L1-trigger track trigger
- Possible changes to the forward calorimeters

From M. Diemoz

ATLAS upgrade performances





di-photon mass resolution in ttH channel



New LHC schedule

LHC schedule beyond LS1

CMS Pixel installation

CMS target for LS3

Only EYETS (19 weeks) (no Linac4 connection during Run2)
LS2 starting in 2018 (July) 18 months + 3months BC (Beam Commissioning)
LS3 LHC: starting in 2023 => 30 months + 3 BC
injectors: in 2024 => 13 months + 3 BC

Pixel and HCAL phase 1 upgrades



New 4-layer pixel detector Pixel



- Upgraded HCAL
  - New photodetectors
  - New electronics (frontend, backend)
  - Improved longitudinal segmentation
  - Improved background rejection, Missing E_T resolution and Particle Flow reconstruction



Signal strength @3000 fb^{-1}



$\mu = \sigma/\sigma_{SM}$



- With 3000 fb^{-1} the couplings can be determined with high precision (a few %)

H→Zγ





VBF signal (2 jets)





VV scattering: fully leptonic

Only background VV+jets, very low xsec

Number of events for 20 fb^{-1} (fully MC based, no systematics, 14 TeV)

CMS ZZ->4e, 4μ	N signal	N back.
500 GeV	2.2	1.9
>1 TeV	0.1	0.2

CMS ZW->μμμν	N signal	N back.
>1 TeV	0.9	0.8

ATLAS ZZ->2l2ν	N signal	N back.
500 GeV	6.4	3.0

ATLAS ZW->lllν	N signal	N back.
500 GeV	8	5
1.1 TeV	1.4	0.4

CMS AN 2007-005

CERN-OPEN-2008-020

Example: ggF Higgs 300 GeV





Latest results:
B~ 6
S~ 10

- reso m_{4l} as expected
- improved reco-id efficiencies

(eg ele ID: TDR time 85-90% -> today 95%)

ZZ resonance

pp→ZZ+2j→4ℓ+2j channel





$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi W} = \frac{c_{\phi W}}{\Lambda^2}\mathrm{Tr}(W^{\mu\nu}W_{\mu\nu})\phi^\dagger\phi$$

	$300\,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	$3000\,\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$
$c_{\phi W}/\Lambda^2$	$34\,\mathrm{TeV}^{-2}$	$16\,\mathrm{TeV}^{-2}$

Sensitivity to anomalous ZZ resonances in Vector boson scattering

WZ scattering

pp→WZ+2j→ℓ's+ν+2j channel





Significance	3σ	5σ
SM EWK Scattering Discovery	75 fb^{-1}	185 fb^{-1}
f_{T1}/Λ^4 at 300 fb^{-1}	0.8 TeV^{-4}	1.0 TeV^{-4}
f_{T1}/Λ^4 at 3000 fb^{-1}	0.45 TeV^{-4}	0.55 TeV^{-4}

Sensitivity to anomalous WZ resonances in Vector boson scattering

ZZ resonance

pp→ZZ+2j→4ℓ+2j channel



model	$300\ \text{fb}^{-1}$	$3000\ \text{fb}^{-1}$
$m_{\text{resonance}} = 500$ GeV, $g = 1.0$	2.4σ	7.5σ
$m_{\text{resonance}} = 1$ TeV, $g = 1.75$	1.7σ	5.5σ
$m_{\text{resonance}} = 1$ TeV, $g = 2.5$	3.0σ	9.4σ

Sensitivity to anomalous ZZ resonances in Vector boson scattering

VV scattering: unitarity violation

VV→VV





S channel **T channel** **QGC**

Without the SM boson, $W^+_L W^-_L \rightarrow W^+_L W^-_L$ violates unitarity at $\sqrt{s} \geq 1.2$ TeV



W, Z masses (→ longitudinal degrees of freedom) arise from the BEH mechanism:

$$A\left(W_L^+ W_L^- \rightarrow W_L^+ W_L^-\right) \approx \frac{1}{v^2}\left(-s-t+\frac{s^2}{s-m_H^2}+\frac{t^2}{t-m_H^2}\right)$$

VV scattering is the smoking gun for EWSB!

Taken from **“Prospects for VV scattering: latest news”** by S. Bolognesi (JHU) talk at Implications of LHC results for TeV-Scale physics (March 2012)

VV scattering as a probe for EWSB

VV Scattering spectrum, σ(VV→VV) vs M(VV)

is the fundamental probe to test the nature of the BEH boson or to find an alternative EWSB mechanism



BSM models predict TeV-scale resonances "paired" with a light scalar particle

Search for possible resonances in VV scattering (VBS) spectrum

Adaptation from **"Boson Boson scattering analysis"** by A.Ballestrero (INFN Torino) talk at First LHC to Terascale Workshop (Sept 2011):

VBS experimental signature

Longitudinal plane



Transverse plane



Signature: forward-backward "spectator" jets with very high energy

- *tagging jets* (1): large p_{T}, large $\Delta\eta$
- few jets between tagging jets
- final state $\ell\nu\ell\nu$:
  - *leptons* (2) between tagging jets
  - missing E_{T}(3)



Six fermion final state

From **"Study of Vector Boson Scattering including Pile-up with the ATLAS Detector"** by P. Anger (TU Dresden), DPG Frühjahrstagung Karlsruhe 2011

VBS final states

- According to the vector bosons' decays we have a multitude of possible final states. We can group them in:

  - Fully leptonic
    - pp→qq ℓℓℓℓ (ℓ=μ,e)
    - pp→qq ℓℓℓν
    - pp→qq ℓℓνν

Clean

Can reconstruct m_{VV} (not with 2ν)

Very low yields...

  - Semi-leptonic
    - pp→qq jetjet ℓℓ
    - pp→qq jetjet ℓν

Better yields...

Large backgrounds

Detector needs

Excellent lepton ID, energy resolution, hermeticity, jet tagging at high η

VBS 2e2μ candidate event



WZ resonance

pp→WZ+2j→ℓ+ν+2ℓ+2j channel





$$\mathcal{L}_{T,1} = \frac{f_{T1}}{\Lambda^4} \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\alpha\nu}\hat{W}^{\mu\beta}] \times \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{W}_{\mu\beta}\hat{W}^{\alpha\nu}]$$

	300 fb^{-1}	3000 fb^{-1}
f_{T1}/Λ^4	1.3 TeV^{-4}	0.6 TeV^{-4}

Sensitivity to anomalous WZ resonances in Vector boson scattering

WW resonance

pp→WW+2j→2ℓ+2ν+2j channel





$$\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = \frac{f_{S0}}{\Lambda^4}[(D_\mu\phi)^\dagger D_\nu\phi)] \times [(D^\mu\phi)^\dagger D^\nu\phi)]$$

model	300 fb^{-1}	3 ab^{-1}
f_{S0}/Λ^4	10 TeV^{-4}	4.5 TeV^{-4}

Sensitivity to anomalous WW resonances in Vector boson scattering

Trigger challenge in 2012

Maintaining high trigger efficiency while keeping the trigger rate within budget was one of the biggest challenges of the CMS experiment in 2012

The experience obtained in 2012 with peak pileup of ~35 events gives us confidence for high-luminosity running post Long Shutdown 1

Trigger Cross-sections:



HLT CPU time:

- linear with PU, no signs of runaway



Tracking and b-tagging performance

Improvement of b-tagging efficiency with new pixel detector



Improvement in tracking efficiency w/ new pixel detector, in ttbar events, as a function of pileup



50 pileup

b-tagging efficiency ~ 1.3x better

2 b-jets → $(1.3)^2 \sim 1.69$

Primary vertex resolution improved by factor ~1.5 - 2

CMS Upgrade program

LS1 and Phase 1



HCAL Upgrade

- Upgraded HCAL
  - New photodetectors
  - New electronics (frontend, backend)
  - Improved longitudinal segmentation
  - Improved background rejection, Missing E_T resolution and Particle Flow reconstruction



Long. segmentation
- HB 3 segments
- HE 5 segments

- Hadronic showers spread out with increasing depth





Pileup challenges

Reconstruction of hard collisions in high pileup environment requires detectors with very high granularity:

- efficient association of charged tracks to collision vertices
- reconstruction of charged and neutral particles in jets
- pileup neutrals corrected w/global energy density (ρ)

Muon isolation



Physics with high pileup requires full particle flow reconstruction assuring:

- precise jet energy correction
- robust missing energy measurement
- efficient lepton isolation



Very efficient reconstruction code is needed to stay within computing budget

Vector Boson Fusion (VBF)

Generic diagram for vector boson fusion (VBF) process



Signature: forward-backward "spectator" jets with very high energy

- Once the vector bosons decay, we have a six-fermion final state
- The full set of $qq \rightarrow 6$ fermions diagrams has to be considered
- In order to investigate EWSB, one has to isolate VV processes from all other six-fermion final states

➡ Apply tight kinematic cuts

Typical kin. cuts

$p_{T,j} > 20$ GeV $|\eta_j|<5$ $p_T^{tag} > 30$ GeV $|\eta_{j1}-\eta_{j2}|>4.0$

$\eta_{j1} \cdot \eta_{j2} < 0$ $m_{jj} > 600$ GeV

VV scattering: semileptonic

Semileptonic is most promising: reasonable signal yield

Number of events for 20 fb^{-1} (fully MC based, no systematics, 14 TeV)

WV -> lnjj

ATLAS	N sign.	N back.
500 GeV	6.2	16
800 GeV	13	17
1.1 TeV	4.8	9.2

CMS	N sign.	N back.
500 GeV	337	20759
>1 TeV	45	3281

ZV -> lljj

CMS	N sign.	N back.
500 GeV	62	3415
>1 TeV	5	348

For recent inclusive Higgs search:

- more sophisticated analysis developed
(btag categories, angular analyses,
$m_{jj} = m_Z$ kinematic fit)

- data driven background

Improved JES: m_{jj} reso from 20-25% to 10-15%



CERN-OPEN-2008-020

Ratios of partial widths @3000 fb^{-1}





- With 3000 fb^{-1} the couplings can be determined with high precision (a few %)

Ratios of partial widths

Scenario 1

CMS

partialWidths	300/fb (% err.)	3000/fb (% err)
r_bZ	24 / -18	12 / -9
r_gZ	16 / -13	8
r_tZ	18 / -15	9 / -7
r_WZ	15 / -12	7 / -6
r_topglu	32 / -24	17 / -13
r_Zglu	17 / -16	10 / -9
c_gluZ	12 / -11	8

Scenario 2

partialWidths	300/fb (% err.)	3000/fb (% err)
r_bZ	17 / -14	4.5
r_gZ	9	4.5
r_tZ	11	3.5
r_WZ	10 / -7	2.5
r_topglu	28 / -22	11
r_Zglu	11 / -10	5
c_gluZ	7.5 / -5.5	4

Scenario 1: systematics as in 2012
Scenario 2: theory syst. scaled by a factor ½,other systematics scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$

I would like to dedicate this talk to my father,

Prof. Giorgio Giacomelli

a worldwide known physicist,

who passed away on January 30th 2014

Giorgio Maria Giacomelli
30/05/1931 - 30/01/2014