

Prospettive future di fisica a LHC

Paolo Giacomelli (INFN Bologna)
Mercoledì, 19 Giugno, 2013



Outline





- Where we stand today
- LHC and HL-LHC luminosity projections
- Physics priorities
- CMS and ATLAS upgrade programs
- Scalar boson physics projections
- Scalar boson rare decays
- Scalar boson self-coupling
- VV scattering
- SUSY prospects
- Exotics projections



Integrated luminosity in 2012

Integrated luminosity recorded in 2012: $\sim 22 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

2011: $L = \sim 6 \text{ fb}^{-1}$



Total delivered luminosity: $\sim 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Total recorded luminosity: $\sim 27 \text{ fb}^{-1}$


Excellent LHC performance and very high data-taking efficiency of the two detectors



A 3-year long sprint....





CMS as example ...



New boson with a mass of ~ 125 GeV


- We have discovered a SM-like scalar boson with a mass of ~ 125 GeV.
- J^{PC} , consistent with SM scalar boson, couplings will need more data.



The new boson is consistent with being the SM scalar boson




LHC and HL-LHC





LHC after LS1






Detector and trigger challenges

- Need detectors and trigger with high performances from low to high energy scales
 - 125 GeV SM-like boson measurements
 - Multi-TeV new physics searches
- **Phase 1 Upgrade:** twice LHC design luminosity
 - Event pileup reaches ~50 collisions per beam crossing (@ 25 ns)
 - Factor 5 increase in trigger rates relative to 2012 run
- **Phase 2 Upgrade:** 5x LHC design luminosity
 - Event pileup reaches ~140 collisions per beam crossing (@ 25 ns)
 - Need solutions to cope with very high rates (10-15 x 2012), radiation and pileup

ATLAS and CMS were designed to cope with $L = 1-2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$



Pileup in 2012





Peak: 37 pileup events

Design value

25 pileup events

($L=10^{34}$, BX=25 ns)





Basically, life will not be easy...

Pileup at 25 ns and $L = 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$





Upgrade challenges and recipe

Maintain low trigger thresholds, efficient particle and physics object reconstruction at high rate and pile-up

Need new technology R&Ds to:

- Increase granularity
- Increase data bandwidth
- Increase processing power
- Improve radiation hardness
- Minimize material in tracking devices





Physics program priorities

The discovery of a SM-like scalar boson at $m_H \sim 125$ GeV defines the physics priorities

- With LHC 13/14 TeV data until ~2022 (~ 300 fb $^{-1}$)
 - Measure SM-like scalar boson properties
 - mass, J^{PC}
 - individual couplings with 5-15% precision
 - Search for new physics at a higher mass scale (new energy region)
 - SUSY
 - Exotics
- With HL-LHC 14 TeV data until ~2032 (~ 3000 fb $^{-1}$)
 - High Precision SM scalar boson measurements
 - Study scalar boson rare decays and self-coupling
 - Study VV scattering
 - Characterize any New Physics discovered during Phase 1 at 14 TeV
 - Search for new physics in very rare processes




CMS upgrade program

LS1 Projects

- Complete Muon coverage (ME,RE4)
- Improve muon operation, DT electronics
- Replace HCAL photo-detectors in Forward (new PMTs) and Outer (HPD→SiPMs)
- DAQ1→DAQ2

LS1




Phase 1 Upgrades


- New Pixel detector, HCAL electronics and L1-Trigger upgrade
- GEMs for forward muon det. under review
- Preparatory work during LS1
 - New beam pipe for pixel upgrade
 - Install test slices of pixel, HCAL, L1-trigger
 - Install ECAL optical splitters for L1-trigger



Pixel and HCAL phase 1 upgrades





New 4-layer pixel detector Pixel




- **Upgraded HCAL**
 - New photodetectors
 - New electronics (frontend, backend)
 - Improved longitudinal segmentation
 - Improved background rejection, Missing E_T resolution and Particle Flow reconstruction

Expected Phase 1 improvements



Significant gain in signal reconstruction efficiency:

$H \rightarrow 4\mu$	+41%
$H \rightarrow 2\mu 2e$	+48%
$H \rightarrow 4e$	+51%




Total efficiency improvement:
factor of 2.5 (4.5% → 11%)

Improved jet and MET → 25%
improvement in $m_{\tau\tau}$ resolution



ATLAS detector





ATLAS upgrade program



ATLAS has devised a 3 stage upgrade program

- New insertable pixel b-layer (IBL)
- New Al beam pipe
- New pixel services
- Complete installation of EE muon chambers
- New evaporative cooling plant
- Consolidation of detector services
- Specific neutron shielding
- Upgrade magnet cryogenics
- New Small Wheel (nSW) for the forward muon Spectrometer
- High Precision Calorimeter L1-Trigger
- Fast TrackKing (FTK) for L2-trigger
- Topological L1-trigger processors
- New forward diffractive physics detectors (AFP)
- Completely new tracking detector
- Calorimeter electronics upgrades
- Upgrade part of the muon system
- Possible L1-trigger track trigger
- Possible changes to the forward calorimeters



From 2013 to HL-LHC

- From 30 to 3000 fb^{-1} : two orders of magnitude extrapolation in luminosity

To calculate physics projections at HL-LHC



Similar trigger and reconstruction performances as in 2012



Need upgraded detectors to offset the much harsher LHC conditions and radiation damage

ATLAS and CMS have launched a comprehensive upgrade program



Scalar boson projections after LS1


Approaches adopted for physics projections

- **ATLAS:** perform physics studies using fast simulation to mimic the beam effects on momentum and energy resolution, acceptance, identification and reconstruction efficiencies, fake rates, etc.
- **CMS:** assume that an upgraded detector will compensate the effects of the higher pile-up, using three different scenarios:
 - Scenario 1: all systematic uncertainties are kept unchanged with respect to those in current data analyses
 - Scenario 2: the theoretical uncertainties are scaled by a factor of $1/2$, while other systematical uncertainties are scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$
 - Scenario 3: set theoretical uncertainties to zero, leave other syst. uncertainties the same as in 2012

Scalar boson signal with 300 fb^{-1}

- Upgraded detector performances assumed the same as 2012 detector
- Three scenarios:
 - Scenario 1:** same systematics as in 2012
 - Scenario 2:** theory systematics scaled by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$, other systematics scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$
 - Scenario 3: same exp. syst. as in 2012, w/o theory uncertainty

CMS Projection




10 fb^{-1} , 7 and 8 TeV (Scenario 1)
 300 fb^{-1} , 14TeV (Scenario 1)
 300 fb^{-1} , 14TeV (Scenario 3)

With 300 fb^{-1} the precision on the signal strength is expected to be **10-15%** per channel


Scalar boson couplings @300 fb⁻¹

- Three scenarios:
 - Scenario 1: same systematics as in 2012
 - Scenario 2: theory systematics scaled by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$, other systematics scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$
 - Scenario 3: same exp. syst. as in 2012, w/o theory uncertainty



300 fb⁻¹ 14 TeV, Scenario 1

300 fb⁻¹ 14 TeV, Scenario 2




With 300 fb⁻¹ the uncertainties on the Higgs couplings are expected in the range $\sigma(\kappa_V) \sim 3\text{-}6\%$
 $\sigma(\kappa_f) \sim 5\text{-}15\%$

Scalar boson couplings @3000 fb⁻¹


ATLAS Preliminary (Simulation)

$\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$: $\int L dt = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$; $\int L dt = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
 $\int L dt = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ extrapolated from 7+8 TeV



ATLAS Preliminary (Simulation)

$\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$: $\int L dt = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$; $\int L dt = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
 $\int L dt = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ extrapolated from 7+8 TeV



- With 3000 fb⁻¹ the couplings can be determined with high precision (a few %)



HL-LHC boson couplings @3000 fb⁻¹






- Extrapolation by two orders of magnitude to higher luminosity
 - is subject to large uncertainties
 - scenarios 1 and 2 provide likely upper and lower bounds
- Experience at LEP and Tevatron indicates that scaling with $1/\sqrt{L}$ is not unrealistic

CMS Coupling	Uncertainty (%)	
	3000 fb ⁻¹	
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2
κ_γ	5.4	1.5
κ_V	4.5	1.0
κ_g	7.5	2.7
κ_b	11	2.7
κ_t	8.0	3.9
κ_τ	5.4	2.0


- With 3000 fb⁻¹ the Higgs couplings can be determined with high precision (**1-4%**)

Scenario 1: systematics as in 2012
Scenario 2: theory syst. scaled by a factor $\frac{1}{2}$, other systematics scaled by $1/\sqrt{L}$

Rare decays





- The decay $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$ can be observed with a significance of 5 sigma
 - measurement of the $H\mu\mu$ coupling with a precision of $\sim 10\%$



Scalar boson self-coupling

Destructive interference between the two diagrams



Many channels to investigate.
Most promising ones:

$b\bar{b}W^+W^-$ (large BR but large bkg.)


$b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ (clean but small BR)

$b\bar{b}\tau^+\tau^-$

$b\bar{b}\mu^+\mu^-$ also being considered

$b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$

Taken from "Higgs self-coupling measurements at the LHC" by M. J. Dolan, C. Englert and M. Spannowsky, JHEP 10 (2012) 112.





NLO cross-section at $m_H=125$ GeV:

$\sigma = 34 \text{ fb}^{+18\%}_{-15\%} \text{ (QCD scale)} \pm 7\% \text{ (PDF+}\alpha_s\text{)} \pm 10\% \text{ (EFT)}$

Self-coupling



$\text{HH} \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$



A sensitivity of 3σ per experiment is within reach with $L=3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

VV scattering: unitarity violation

VV → **VV**



Without the SM boson, $W_L^+ W_L^- \rightarrow W_L^+ W_L^-$ violates unitarity at $\sqrt{s} \geq 1.2$ TeV

W, Z masses (\rightarrow longitudinal degrees of freedom) arise from the BEH mechanism:

$$A(W_L^+ W_L^- \rightarrow W_L^+ W_L^-) \approx \frac{1}{v^2} \left(-s - t + \frac{s^2}{s - m_H^2} + \frac{t^2}{t - m_H^2} \right)$$


VV scattering is the smoking gun for EWSB!

Taken from “Prospects for VV scattering: latest news” by S. Bolognesi (JHU)
talk at Implications of LHC results for TeV-Scale physics (March 2012)

VV scattering as a probe for EWSB

VV Scattering spectrum, $\sigma(VV \rightarrow VV)$ vs $M(VV)$

is the fundamental probe to test the **nature of the BEH boson** or to find an **alternative EWSB mechanism**




Search for possible **resonances** in VBF spectrum

Adaptation from “**Boson Boson scattering analysis**” by A.Ballestrero (INFN Torino)


talk at First LHC to Terascale Workshop (Sept 2011):

VBF experimental signature


Longitudinal plane



Transverse plane



- ▶ *tagging jets* (1): large p_T , large $\Delta\eta$
- ▶ few jets between tagging jets
- ▶ final state $\ell\nu\ell\nu$:
 - ▶ *leptons* (2) between tagging jets
 - ▶ missing E_T (3)



Six fermion final state

From "Study of Vector Boson Scattering including Pile-up with the ATLAS Detector"
by P. Anger (TU Dresden), DPG Frühjahrstagung Karlsruhe 2011

VBF final states

- According to the vector bosons' decays we have a multitude of possible final states. We can group them in:

- **Fully leptonic**

- $pp \rightarrow qq \ell\ell\ell\ell$ ($\ell = \mu, e$)
- $pp \rightarrow qq \ell\ell\ell\nu$
- $pp \rightarrow qq \ell\ell\nu\nu$

Clean

Can reconstruct $m_{\nu\nu}$ (not with 2ν)

Very low yields...

- **Semi-leptonic**

- $pp \rightarrow qq \text{jetjet} \ell\ell$
- $pp \rightarrow qq \text{jetjet} \ell\nu$

Better yields...


Large backgrounds

Detector needs

Excellent lepton ID, energy resolution, hermeticity, jet tagging at high η



VBF 2e2μ candidate event





VV scattering: fully leptonic

Only background VV+jets, very low xsec

Number of events for 20 fb^{-1} (fully MC based, no systematics, 14 TeV)

	N signal	N back.		N signal	N back.
CMS ZZ->4e, 4μ	2.2	1.9	ATLAS ZZ->2l2ν	6.4	3.0
	0.1	0.2			
CMS ZW->μμμν	N signal	N back.	ATLAS ZW->lIlν	N signal	N back.
	0.9	0.8		8	5
			500 GeV	1.4	0.4



Example: ggF Higgs 300 GeV



- Latest results:
- B~ 6
- S~ 10
- reso m_{4l} as expected
 - improved reco-id efficiencies
- (eg ele ID: TDR time 85-90% -> today 95%)

ZZ resonance

$\text{pp} \rightarrow \text{ZZ} + 2\text{j} \rightarrow 4\ell + 2\text{j}$ channel



model	300 fb^{-1}	3000 fb^{-1}
$m_{\text{resonance}} = 500 \text{ GeV}, g = 1.0$	2.4σ	7.5σ
$m_{\text{resonance}} = 1 \text{ TeV}, g = 1.75$	1.7σ	5.5σ
$m_{\text{resonance}} = 1 \text{ TeV}, g = 2.5$	3.0σ	9.4σ

Sensitivity to anomalous ZZ resonances in Vector boson scattering



SUSY




ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

Status: LHC 2013

Situation today

ATLAS Preliminary


$$\int L dt = (4.4 - 20.7) \text{ fb}^{-1} \quad \text{Ls} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$$




*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.



SUSY



Summary of CMS RPV SUSY Results* **LHCP 2013**



SUSY limits at a glance

EWKinos

~200-400 GeV




Stop, sbottoms

~200-600 GeV

Squarks, gluinos

~600-1300 GeV

SUSY reach at higher luminosity




Going from $L=300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ to $L=3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ the sensitivity to 1st and 2nd gen squarks and gluinos improves by $\sim 400\text{-}500 \text{ GeV}$, while to stops by about 200 GeV

SUSY reach at higher luminosity

LHC at 14 TeV expands the reach for SUSY particles to much higher masses. (HE-LHC at 33 TeV does it even more)

As expected, the gain with HL-LHC is more modest (~25%) in this case.





SUSY reach with 300 fb^{-1}
EWKinos up to $\sim 800 \text{ GeV}$
Stops,sbottoms up to $\sim 1 \text{ TeV}$
squarks,gluinos up to $\sim 2.5 \text{ TeV}$



Exotics searches results

Situation today

CMS EXOTICA 95% CL EXCLUSION LIMITS (TeV)






Exotics searches results




*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena shown

Situation today

Exotics searches at HL-LHC




Z' Topcolour



lepton+jets

dileptons

Kaluza-Klein gluons in extra-dimensional models



model	300 fb^{-1}	1000 fb^{-1}	3000 fb^{-1}
g_{KK}	4.3 (4.0)	5.6 (4.9)	6.7 (5.6)
Z' Topcolour	3.3 (1.8)	4.5 (2.6)	5.5 (3.2)
$Z'_{SSM} \rightarrow ee$	6.5	7.2	7.8
$Z'_{SSM} \rightarrow \mu\mu$	6.4	7.1	7.6

Summary of expected limits for various signatures in the Sequential Standard Model. All mass limits are in TeV.



LQ at HL-LHC



Mass reach (in TeV) for the leptoquark search in the ee jetjet channel

CMS

Scenario	LHC	HL-LHC	HE-LHC
Low S/B	1.6	1.8	2.5
High S/B	1.7	2.3	3.5

Caveat

Many of the projections and studies that I presented are being improved and updated for the ECFA workshop of october 2013, so stay tuned...



Conclusions



- ATLAS and CMS have exceeded their design performances during the first LHC run, showing that precision physics can be made under these conditions.
- The experience gained and a sound program of upgrades gives us confidence that the experiments will meet the physics expected with 300 fb^{-1} , collected at $\sqrt{s}=14 \text{ TeV}$ and instantaneous luminosities up to $2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.
 - A new energy domain with a vast potential for new physics discoveries
 - All existing searches will be very quickly updated
- With HL-LHC a further increase of the discovery phase-space is possible.
- Precision SM scalar boson physics at HL-LHC is an attractive future scenario deserving substantial studies and R&D.
 - it is a challenging project involving major upgrades of full detectors.
 - scalar boson couplings can be measured with few percent precision
 - rare scalar boson decays, self-coupling studies possible
 - VV scattering will be probed
- LHC has an exciting physics program for the next twenty years!


Backup

Trigger challenge in 2012

Maintaining high trigger efficiency while keeping the trigger rate within budget was one of the biggest challenges of the CMS experiment in 2012


The experience obtained in 2012 with peak pileup of ~35 events gives us confidence for high-luminosity running post Long Shutdown 1

Trigger Cross-sections:




HLT CPU time:

- linear with PU, no signs of runaway




Tracking and b-tagging performance

Improvement of b-tagging efficiency
with new pixel detector



b-tagging efficiency ~ 1.3 x better
 $2 \text{ b-jets} \rightarrow (1.3)^2 \sim 1.69$

Improvement in tracking efficiency w/
new pixel detector, in ttbar events, as
a function of pileup




Primary vertex resolution improved by factor $\sim 1.5 - 2$



CMS Upgrade program

LS1 and Phase 1








HCAL Upgrade

- Upgraded HCAL

- New photodetectors
- New electronics (frontend, backend)
- Improved longitudinal segmentation
- Improved background rejection, Missing E_T resolution and Particle Flow reconstruction

- Hadronic showers spread out with increasing depth



Pileup challenges



Reconstruction of hard collisions in high pileup environment requires detectors with very high granularity:

- efficient association of charged tracks to collision vertices
- reconstruction of charged and neutral particles in jets
- pileup neutrals corrected w/global energy density (ρ)

Physics with high pileup requires full particle flow reconstruction assuring:


- precise jet energy correction
- robust missing energy measurement
- efficient lepton isolation

Very efficient reconstruction code is needed to stay within computing budget



Vector Boson Fusion (VBF)

Generic diagram for vector boson fusion (VBF) process



Signature: forward-backward
“spectator” jets with very high
energy

- Once the vector bosons decay, we have a **six-fermion** final state
- The full set of $qq \rightarrow 6$ fermions diagrams has to be considered
- In order to investigate EWSB, one has to isolate VV processes from all other six-fermion final states
 - ➡ Apply tight kinematic cuts

Typical kin. cuts

$$\begin{array}{cccc} p_{T,j} > 20 \text{ GeV} & |\eta_j| < 5 & p_T^{\text{tag}} > 30 \text{ GeV} & |\eta_{j1} - \eta_{j2}| > 4.0 \\ \eta_{j1} \cdot \eta_{j2} < 0 & m_{jj} > 600 \text{ GeV} & & \end{array}$$

VV scattering: semileptonic

Semileptonic is most promising: reasonable signal yield

Number of events for 20 fb^{-1} (fully MC based, no systematics, 14 TeV)

	ATLAS	N sign.	N back.	CMS	N sign.	N back.	CMS	N sign.	N back.	
VV -> Injj	500 GeV	6.2	16	500 GeV	337	20759	ZV -> IIjj	500 GeV	62	3415
	800 GeV	13	17	45	3281	>1 TeV		5	348	
	1.1 TeV	4.8	9.2							

For recent inclusive Higgs search:

- more sophisticated analysis developed
(btags categories, angular analyses,
 $m_{jj} = m_Z$ kinematic fit)
- data driven background

Improved JES: m_{jj} reso from 20-25% to 10-15%

